

# Canonical coin systems

Jakub Cisko

Jagiellonian University

`jakub@cislo.net.pl`

April 14, 2016

- 1 Brief description of the problem
  - Definitions
  - Properties of greedy decomposition
- 2 Smallest counterexample characterization
  - Properties of subvectors
  - $M(w)$  vs  $G(c_{i-1} - 1)$
- 3 Polynomial algorithm for checking canonicity

# Brief description of the problem

# Brief description of the problem

## Denominations



# Brief description of the problem

Denominations



14

# Brief description of the problem

Denominations



14

Greedy



# Brief description of the problem

Denominations



14

Greedy



Optimal



# Definitions

$$C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

where  $c_1 > c_2 > \dots > c_n$  are denominations

## Decomposition of $w$

vector  $V$  satisfying  $V \cdot C = w$  (where  $\cdot$  is scalar product)

$$G(w)$$

greedy decomposition of  $w$

$$M(w)$$

optimal decomposition of  $w$  (minimize  $|M(w)| = M(w) \cdot (1, \dots, 1)$ )



# Properties of greedy decomposition

$G(\cdot)$  is monotonic

$$x \leq y \implies G(x) \leq G(y)$$

$G(w)$  is the greatest lexicographical representation of  $w$

$$\forall U: U \cdot C = w \quad U \leq G(w)$$

# Properties of subvectors

## Definition

$V$  is *greedy* if and only if  $V = G(V \cdot C)$

## Definition

$V$  is *minimal* if and only if  $V = M(V \cdot C)$

## Lemma

- a) If  $U \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is greedy, then  $U$  is greedy.
- b) If  $U \subseteq V$  and  $V$  is minimal, then  $U$  is minimal.

# $M(w)$ vs $G(c_{i-1} - 1)$

## Theorem

Let  $w$  be the smallest counter example,  $i$  - the first index of nonzero value in  $M(w)$ ,  $j$  - the last one. Then  $M(w)$  is identical with  $G(c_{i-1} - 1)$  on positions from 1 to  $j - 1$ -th. It's one greater on  $j$ -th position. The remaining entries are all zeros.

$O(n^2)$  candidates

$O(n^2)$  candidates  
every checked in  $O(n)$

$O(n^2)$  candidates  
every checked in  $O(n)$   
=  
 $O(n^3)$

Thank you